

THE KINSEY INSTITUTE EXPOSED:
A WARNING TO PARENTS & GOVERNMENTS
THROUGHOUT THE WORLD

THE KINSEY INSTITUTE EXPOSED: A WARNING TO PARENTS & GOVERNMENTS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD

On April 23, 2014 the Kinsey Institute for Research in Sex, Gender and Reproduction was granted special consultative status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations (ECOSOC). This decision was based in part on misleading testimony¹ regarding the nature of their work provided by a Kinsey Institute representative to the United Nations Committee on Nongovernmental Organizations.

From their fraudulent sex research, to their collaboration with pedophiles to publish their sex experiments on children, to their promotion of risky sexual behaviors as healthy and normal, which has formed the basis of dangerous sexuality education programs worldwide, the Kinsey Institute has caused incalculable harm to children, adults and families. For this reason the UN's decision to grant ECOSOC status to the Kinsey Institute has outraged parents, government and civic leaders, lawmakers, researchers, and victims of sexual crimes around the world who understand how harmful the Kinsey Institute's work has been, especially for the world's children.

This brief will show how the past and present actions, goals and aims of the Kinsey Institute merit condemnation and censure rather than the legitimacy, prestige and access that UN consultative status affords them and which enables them to perpetuate their harmful work on a much larger world stage.

This brief will also explore:

- The misleading nature of four major statements the Kinsey Institute made in their testimony before the UN Committee: 1) that they are not an advocacy organization; 2) that they are a research organization; 3) that they promote responsible sexual behavior; and 4) that they work to advance sexual health.
- The fraudulent and flawed nature of the Kinsey Institute's sex "research"* past and present.
- How the Kinsey Institute's sex research has been used to argue for the repeal or modification of numerous laws that protect children and uphold standards of decency, urge reductions in penalties for sex crimes against women and children, and to promote their dangerous sexual ideologies to the world's children and youth, thereby endangering their health and well-being.
- The current activities of the Kinsey Institute that pose a danger to children worldwide.

* Kinsey's research methods have been widely criticized as unscientific and grossly skewed. His research has been dismissed by qualified researchers for failing to meet even minimal standards of accepted research design. Kinsey used biased, unrepresentative samples and coercive interview tactics to elicit his data purporting to show that most people are highly promiscuous and regularly engage in unconventional sexual behavior. The data Kinsey collected were fraudulent and misleading. Throughout this brief, when referring to Kinsey's work, the term "research" is used very loosely.

Finally, this brief provides a list of 10 probing questions that we are urging the UN Committee on NGOs to ask the Kinsey Institute and which if answered honestly, would provide the rationale for the UN to rescind the Kinsey Institute's consultative status. (See Appendix 1)

We invite all parents, citizens, opinion leaders, officers of government and members of civil society to help protect the health and innocence of children worldwide by joining the "Stop the Kinsey Institute Coalition" and taking the suggested actions at the end of this brief that will help expose and stop their harmful work.

OVERVIEW OF THE KINSEY INSTITUTE

The Kinsey Institute was originally founded as an affiliate of Indiana University in 1947 by zoologist Alfred Kinsey under the name "Institute for Sex Research." Since then, the name of the Institute has evolved, but the purpose of the Institute has remained the same. For this reason, and because the Kinsey Institute of today has never disavowed many of the questionable, even illegal, activities of Alfred Kinsey and his colleagues or the original Institute, the Kinsey Institute of today must be considered to be inextricably linked to the work of Alfred Kinsey and the work of the original Institute for Sex Research, which now proudly bears his name.

Kinsey's personal fascination with all kinds of sex acts, as well as his own well-documented sexual fetishes and habits (including engaging in sadomasochistic, homosexual, adulterous and voyeuristic behaviors), greatly influenced the Kinsey Institute's founding philosophies, the nature of their sex research, and the development of their trademark "nonjudgmental" approach to research on human sexuality.

Kinsey personally interviewed thousands of people regarding their sex lives for the Institute's research and insisted on knowing every detail. He was especially proud of his "nonjudgmental" interviewing skills, which enabled his subjects to more freely disclose the most intimate details of their sex lives. Kinsey hired additional "sex researchers" and trained them in this same nonjudgmental approach to interviewing and sexuality research, even requiring them to engage in sex acts in his attic, often while being filmed, ostensibly in the name of science.

In fact, the Sexual Attitude Restructuring Seminars (SARS)² in which modern day sexologists are required to participate, largely mirror Kinsey's methods. To become certified sexologists today, students are required to participate in one of these SARS events, which includes watching hours of pornography depicting a wide array of sex acts to help them "readjust" their sexual attitudes so they can counsel in a nonjudgmental way and become desensitized to even the most bizarre and potentially harmful sexual behaviors.

The Kinsey Institute's nonjudgmental or all-inclusive approach to sexual behavior is a major reason why their work is so harmful. Societies have always put restrictions on harmful sexual activities, including such things as pedophilia, bestiality, and others that are recognized as abnormal disorders by mental health professionals worldwide. It is one thing to document that various abnormal behaviors exist; it is another thing to claim, as Alfred Kinsey did, that since these behaviors are being practiced by some people, they are, therefore, "normal" and healthy.

Kinsey's belief that all consensual sexual behaviors are healthy and that it is society's prohibitions on promiscuous sex, not the promiscuous behaviors themselves that cause problems, has not only permeated the philosophies and work of the Institute to this day, but has also had a major impact on sex studies, research and sexual education worldwide.

With the backing and credibility of Indiana University and funding from the Rockefeller Institute, Kinsey conducted his pseudo-scientific research on human sexuality attempting to prove to the world that children are sexual from birth and that promiscuous sexual behaviors of all kinds and at all ages are prevalent; therefore, they are normal and healthy. This false philosophy has provided the philosophic foundation and rationale behind highly controversial comprehensive sexuality education programs that teach children of all ages they have a right to sexual knowledge and sexual pleasure and that teach children as young as age five they can experience self-pleasure through masturbation. (See Appendix 4)

The Kinsey Institute's findings on human sexuality, initially published in *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* and *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female* (collectively known as "The Kinsey Reports"), have been used to promote pedophilia, incest, abortion, homosexuality, promiscuity, adultery, the legalization of same-sex marriage and much more.

The most controversial work of the Kinsey Institute, however, is the research they have promoted since 1948 on child sexuality. In their book *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* (which was reprinted in 1998 and is widely available), the Institute published the notorious Table 34, which documents the "sexual responses" of children from infants through teens as recorded by their adult male abusers. (See Appendix 2) These pedophiles conducted sexual experiments on hundreds of children by bringing them to what the experimenters called "orgasm" (screaming, weeping, fighting the sexual "partner") and timing these responses with a stopwatch. To date, the Kinsey Institute has covered up this sexual abuse in the name of science and has refused to allow public examination of their original data or to reveal the sources from which they were derived, thus, protecting the pedophiles with whom they admit to recruiting and collaborating.

Since its founding, the Kinsey Institute has been a strong advocate for legalizing and mainstreaming what are still recognized as abnormal sexual behaviors in society. However, they have largely left the effort to indoctrinate the world's children in their sexual ideologies to other likeminded organizations that have adopted their philosophical approach, primarily the Sexuality Information and Education Council of the U.S. (SIECUS) and Planned Parenthood.

In 1964 Kinsey's co-author and former Kinsey Institute director, Wardell Pomeroy, became a founding SIECUS board member, and Dr. Mary Calderone, the medical director for Planned Parenthood, became the founding president of SIECUS. To date, SIECUS and Planned Parenthood have been the world leaders in creating and promoting comprehensive sexuality education programs that incorporate Kinsey's controversial sex philosophies and that encourage children and adults to explore their alleged sexual desires without constraints.

THE KINSEY INSTITUTE'S CLAIM TO THE UN: "WE ARE NOT AN ADVOCACY ORGANIZATION."

The Kinsey Institute has been and continues to be an aggressive sexual rights advocacy organization. Their research and advocacy has contributed to the widespread promotion of promiscuous sex and the liberalization of sex laws to the detriment of children, adults, families and societies.

The Kinsey Institute cosponsors and organizes advocacy events and helps fund controversial sexual rights advocacy groups. For example, in 2012, the Kinsey Institute cosponsored an advocacy and fundraising event, called *Sexuality 2012: Research, Activism, Social Change*.³ The Institute's website characterized this event

as “a unique opportunity to learn what is being done to advance social attitudes and sexual freedom, and how you can be part of transforming our world.” Indicative of the attitudes the event was advancing, one discussion focused on how to normalize same-sex marriage, and a beneficiary of this fundraiser was the Foundation for Sex Positive Culture, an organization that hosts workshops on how to effectively tie ropes and other restraints on a sexual partner (whether voluntary or involuntary is unspecified) in order to engage in “bondage” sex, how to perform anal sex, how to use whips in sexual encounters, and genital piercing. Other Kinsey partners cosponsoring this event included Pride Foundation, a homosexual advocacy group whose stated goal is to “ignite change that advances equality for the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ) community”⁴ and the Tor Project, which promotes online anonymity, a factor that is particularly appealing to those who engage in criminal sexual activities with non-consenting adult or minor partners online.⁵

The Kinsey Institute’s research and sexual advocacy work has contributed directly to the repeal of numerous laws protecting marriage and children in the United States. Kinsey’s testimony and research have been credited with convincing state legislatures across the U.S. to reduce penalties for abortion, rape, statutory rape, seduction, prostitution, wife and child battery, sodomy, bestiality, indecent exposure, incest, bigamy, nudity, obscenity, adultery, fornication, adult-child sex, illicit cohabitation, pornography, and more. For example, in his testimony before the California Subcommittee on Sex Crimes in 1949, Kinsey claimed that according to the Institute’s research, “95 percent of nearly 9,000 males in our histories” were sex offenders and considered sexually deviant under the law;⁶ therefore, sex laws needed to be liberalized or repealed or practically all men would be in jail. Kinsey also claimed that his extensive data on children proved they are unharmed by sex; that molesters don’t repeat their crimes; therefore, we should parole all sex criminals. The subcommittee had originally been organized to strengthen California’s sex crime penalties, but after hearing from Kinsey, they recommended widespread paroles and leniency.”⁷ Kinsey’s former director and co-author, Wardell Pomeroy, admits that Kinsey personally worked on “the revision of sex laws with the Illinois, New Jersey, New York, Delaware, Wyoming, and Oregon commissions.”⁸

A group of 2,400 conservative U.S. lawmakers⁹ warn of the dangerous influence of the Kinsey Institute’s work on American laws. In their 2004 report, “*Restoring Legal Protections for Women and Children: A Historical Analysis of The States’ Criminal Codes*,” these lawmakers detailed how Kinsey, whom they called, “a pornography-addicted, sadomasochistic, bi/homosexual pedophile propagandist,” was able to launch the sexual revolution, reduce sex crime penalties and sabotage American sex law. The report reveals that “Since World War II Kinsey’s fraudulent data informed and directed the American Law Institute’s (ALI) Model Penal Code in eliminating and weakening 52 U.S. sex-related laws that once protected marriage. The ALI’s penal law reforms recommended to legislators and lawyers were largely adopted between 1960 and 1980 and permitted Kinsey’s [fraudulent reporting of the prevalence of] abnormal sexual conduct to be taught to American children via sex education. Since then, public health costs from sexual disease and dysfunction have skyrocketed; indeed, all measures of socio sexual disorder have soared from the 1960s, when protective laws began to be weakened and/or eliminated.”¹⁰

Westlaw, the most widely used legal database in the world, has more than 650 Kinsey citations in law journals from 1980 to 2000¹¹ and significantly important law journal articles citing to Kinsey research from 1948 to 1980. In most cases, these citations refer to Kinsey’s fraudulent data to support the liberalization of sex laws and for reducing penalties for sex crimes. For example, Kinsey Institute data were cited in law journals over a 30-year period to promote the following changes to existing law, many of which were successful:

- Criticize judicial condemnation of sex offenders (Pennsylvania, 1952)
- Lighten sex crime penalties (Ohio, 1959)
- Promote the harmlessness of boy prostitution (Duke University, 1960)

Claim that children seduce men (Missouri, 1973, Tennessee, 1965)
 Legalize sodomy (South Dakota, 1968)
 Express “beneficent concern for pedophiles” (Georgia, 1969)
 Reduce penalties for rape (Oklahoma, 1970)
 Assert that 95 percent of males are sex offenders (Oregon, 1972)
 Legalize prostitution (Maine, 1976)

In 1973, U.S. Supreme Court Justices relied heavily on Kinsey’s data to rule in the landmark *Roe v. Wade* case, which legalized abortion in the U.S.¹² Kinsey reported his research as showing that abortion was quite common and safe among American women and that any “problems” from abortion were the result of illegal abortions not being performed by doctors;¹³ therefore, abortion should be legalized. This argument has since been used at the United Nations and abroad.

The 1957 Wolfenden Report, a leading British study of homosexuality and prostitution, cited Kinsey for authority on decriminalization of sex crimes. Immediately after, American homosexual advocates cited Wolfenden to justify loosening American laws on sodomy and homosexuality. The result was the legitimization, legalization and spread of homosexual behavior on both sides of the Atlantic.¹⁴

In 2003 an *amicus* brief co-authored by homosexual historian, John D’Emilio, during his summer fellowship with the Kinsey Institute was used in part by the U.S. Supreme Court to rule in the *Lawrence v. Texas* case that all U.S. “anti sodomy laws are unconstitutional.”¹⁵ This case resulted in the decriminalization of sodomy across the United States and was then relied on by the Massachusetts Supreme Court in their decision mandating that their state, the first state in the U.S., legalize same-sex marriage.

More recently, the lead witness in the lawsuit challenging California’s pro-traditional marriage Proposition 8 cited Kinsey. This lawsuit resulted in overturning a provision adopted by direct vote of the people to the California constitution defining marriage as the union of a man and a woman and resulted in the legalization of same-sex marriage in the state of California.

2. THE KINSEY INSTITUTE’S CLAIM TO THE UN: “WE ARE A RESEARCH INSTITUTE.”

The Kinsey Institute has perhaps one of the world’s worst reputations for promoting fraudulent and biased research on human sexuality. The following evidence will show the fraudulent nature of their research and how it is still being used today by pedophiles and advocates of comprehensive sexuality education programs to promote the sexualization of children.

The Kinsey Institute’s sex research has been largely dismissed by qualified researchers for failing to meet even minimal standards of accepted research design. For example, Kinsey and his team intentionally selected non-representative, sexually deviant research subjects, many of whom were convicted sex offenders that were interviewed in prisons. Kinsey then attempted to draw conclusions about the prevalence of these deviant behaviors in the entire U.S. population. This was clearly done in an effort to normalize unhealthy, abnormal and extreme sexual behaviors. In fact, it was the Kinsey Institute’s flawed research that spread the widely

accepted myth that 10 percent of the U.S. population was homosexual, which laid the foundation for the homosexual activist movement in the U.S. and now worldwide. (The actual number has since been found to be approximately two percent, although the Kinsey Institute still publicizes the 10 percent figure.)¹⁷

The Kinsey Institute admits that many of their research findings on sexual norms were based on interviews with criminals, prostitutes and pedophiles rather than normal, healthy members of society. Kinsey Institute researchers have since acknowledged the extremely serious problems with their sampling techniques. Daniel Flynn explains, “In 1941, for instance, Kinsey visited forty penal farms [prison compounds] where they collected sexual histories of inmates.” Kinsey’s coauthors have also since admitted that their prison histories ignored scientific sampling techniques and focused on the most deviant sex offenders, including those who had practiced incest, rape, and pedophilia.”¹⁸ In fact, former Kinsey Institute director, Paul Gebhard, said regarding their research, “At the Indiana State [Penal] Farm we had no plan of sampling—we simply sought out sex offenders and, after a time, avoided the more common types of offenses (e.g., statutory rape) and directed our efforts toward the rarer types.”¹⁹ Also, according to biographer James Jones, Alfred Kinsey’s “methodology and sampling technique virtually guaranteed that he would find what he was looking for.”²⁰ This flawed sampling technique made sexually deviant behaviors appear to occur far more often in the general population than they actually did, thus, greatly influencing public thinking about sexual norms and sex-related laws.

Wardell Pomeroy, Kinsey’s research field director and co-author of *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, admits, “We went to the [prison] records and got lists of the inmates who were in for various kinds of offenses. If the list was short for some offenses—as in incest, for example—we took the history of everybody on the list. If it was a long list, as for statutory rape, we might take the history of every fifth or tenth man.”²¹ These fraudulent, misrepresentative, and misleading data based disproportionately on sexual and social deviants were used by courts and policymakers to make decisions and policies that have affected the lives of countless people.

Pedophile groups still use the Kinsey Institute’s flawed research to support and defend adult-child sex. Not surprisingly, such groups as the infamous North American Man Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) use Kinsey’s writings and research findings to support their promotion of sexual relationships between adults and children, i.e., pedophilia. This disturbing quote from Alfred Kinsey, which blames the reactions of parents and others for any ill effects of child-adult sexual contact, appears on the NAMBLA website, along with a picture of Alfred Kinsey: “The emotional reactions of the parents, police officers, and other adults who discover that the child has had such a contact, may disturb the child more seriously than the sexual contacts themselves. The current hysteria over sex offenders may very well have serious effects on the ability of many of these children to work out sexual adjustments some years later.”²² Further, NAMBLA writer Daniel Tsang says, “Gay liberationists in general, and boy lovers in particular, should know Kinsey’s work and hold it dear.”²³

A chapter by Gerald Hannon in “gay liberation” book, *Lavender Culture*, asserts that society needs to get rid of the “archaic concepts” of “the innocence of children” and “the potential harmfulness of sex,”²⁴ and directly cites Kinsey for support. A quote from the chapter claims, “The myth that children are not sexual beings...is maintained...in the face of massive evidence to the contrary...Infants in their cribs have orgasms—Kinsey documented them in babies less than a year old.”²⁵

Known pedophile, Tom O’Carroll, former chairman of the British Paedophile Information Exchange (PIE), cites Kinsey extensively in his book *Paedophilia—The Radical Case*. A sobering quote from his book explains, “Alfred Kinsey and his co-researchers ... made almost as much impact in the early post-war years as Freud had in his time.”²⁶ O’Carroll’s book also includes this alarming statement taken from Kinsey’s *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*: “It is probable that half or more of the boys in an uninhibited society could reach climax by the time they were three or four years of age, and that nearly all of them could experience such a climax three to five years before the onset of adolescence.”²⁷

Kinsey's claim that children can and should be sexual from the earliest stages of life has become the basis for virtually all modern comprehensive sexuality education programs taught around the world.²⁸ Explaining the history of comprehensive sexuality education, internationally recognized expert, Dr. Miriam Grossman, says, "The modern sex-ed movement began in the 1960s using American Alfred Kinsey's model of modern sexuality as a foundation."²⁹ In 1964, Dr. Mary Calderone, a Kinsey enthusiast, founded SIECUS (Sexuality Information and Education Council of the United States) and taught that "from the day (children) are born, they are sexual beings."³⁰ Referring to Kinsey's research, Calderone stated that "professionals who study children have affirmed the *strong sexuality of the newborn*."³¹ Dr. Grossman further explains that Wardell Pomeroy served on the original board of directors of SIECUS, that SIECUS has since partnered with the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), extensively and that one of the main authors of UNESCO's highly controversial International Guidelines on Sexuality Education (see Appendix 4) was a former director of SIECUS. Thus, Kinsey's intellectual offspring continue to assault children's innocence and health through the far-reaching comprehensive sexuality education programs of today.

3. THE KINSEY INSTITUTE'S CLAIM TO THE UN: "WE ADVOCATE FOR RESPONSIBLE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR."

The Kinsey Institute's original research team practiced and promoted irresponsible and illegal sexual behaviors and continues to defend these actions today.

Kinsey protected the identities of known pedophiles and asked them to document their abuse thereby giving legitimacy to, and no doubt encouraging, sex crimes on children in the name of science. John Bancroft, M.D., emeritus director of the Kinsey Institute, in his paper, "Alfred Kinsey and the Politics of Sex Research" said that Alfred Kinsey was, "particularly interested in the observation of adults who had been sexually involved with children."³² It was Kinsey's obsession with the sexual responses of children that led to the publication of the infamous Table 34 in Chapter 5 of *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, which documents the sexual abuse of several hundred children. While there has been intense controversy as to how these data were collected, and by whom, Kinsey himself states that at least nine adult men conducted or "observed" sexual contacts with children and confirms that these encounters are the basis for their data on children's sexuality. In *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, Kinsey states, "Data on pre-adolescent climax come from histories of adult males who have had sexual contacts with younger boys and who, with their adult backgrounds, are able to recognize and interpret the boys' [orgasmic] experiences ... 9 of our adult male subjects have observed such orgasm. Some of these adults are technically trained persons who have kept diaries or other records which have been put at our disposal; and from them we have secured information on 317 pre-adolescents who were either observed in self-masturbation, or who were observed in contacts with other boys or older adults."³³

Kinsey states that child-adult sexual encounters were conducted and reported in order to comply with "the scientifically fair demand for records from trained observers."³⁴ Kinsey then proudly asserts, "We have now reported observation on such specifically sexual activities as erection, pelvic thrusts, and several other characteristics of true orgasm in a list of 317 pre-adolescent boys ranging between infants of five months and adolescence in age."³⁵ (Emphasis added.) Kinsey explains that the sexual reactions of children were "timed with [a] second hand or stopwatch."³⁶ Table 34 in Kinsey's book includes these data: an 11-month-old baby experienced an alleged "orgasm" ten times in one hour; a four-year-old child and a 13-year-old

boy experienced 26 such alleged “orgasms” in a 24-hour period.³⁷ Is one to believe that the 11-month-old baby brought *himself* to orgasm 10 times in one hour, or that the four-year-old child stimulated *himself* repeatedly for 24 hours and timed his results with a stopwatch? Or was one of the men Kinsey interviewed just conveniently on hand for 24 hours while he watched someone else sexually stimulate the child? If these men—whom Kinsey calls “our” subjects—truly were trained observers, who trained them, and why did the Kinsey Institute not report them and protect the children they were abusing? Many Kinsey researchers and biographers believe this is because the Kinsey Institute encouraged and condoned this abuse.

Kinsey interprets sobbing and tears as part of normal “climax” and “orgasm” in children. For example, in his book, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, Kinsey says child “orgasms” include “sobbing, or more violent cries, sometimes with an abundance of tears (especially among younger children) ... extreme trembling, collapse, loss of color, and sometimes fainting ...,” “pained or frightened” expression, and “violent attempts to avoid climax...”³⁸ Yet, in spite of these reactions, Kinsey concluded that children, “derive definite pleasure from the situation.”³⁸

The Kinsey Institute denies any collaboration with pedophiles, yet Kinsey himself clearly states that he used information provided by adult men who had sexual encounters with children. Why were Alfred Kinsey and his team not concerned with bringing these men to justice or protecting additional children from their sexual assaults? Perhaps Alfred Kinsey best answered this question himself with this statement quoted on the Kinsey Institute website: “We are the recorders and reporters of the facts—not the judges of the behaviors we describe.”³⁹ Apparently, this “nonjudgmental” approach extends even to illegal adult-child sex acts wherein a baby is sexually molested for 24 hours. The Kinsey Institute defends their position on this issue stating on their website, “Without confidentiality, it would have been impossible to investigate the very private lives of Americans then, and even now.” This statement indicates that the protection of pedophiles is more important to the Kinsey Institute than the protection of children.

Kinsey goes so far as to suggest that sexual stimulation is beneficial to babies, saying, “A fretful babe quiets down under the initial sexual stimulation” followed by “violent arm and leg movements, sometimes with weeping” and then subsides into “calm and peace.”⁴⁰ Kinsey even suggests that children need the “help” of adults in discovering their sexuality, especially effective methods of masturbation.⁴¹ This is not advancing sexual health, but rather sexual abuse, as defined universally by laws around the world.

One of the probable victims of Table 34 known as Esther White, a woman now in her 80s, believes that it was Kinsey who encouraged her father and grandfather to sexually abuse her when she was a little girl and to time her sexual responses with a stopwatch. Esther testifies that she was personally interviewed by Kinsey at age nine, and at that time, she saw Kinsey pay her father a large sum of money. She recently found a photo of her father with his fellow students at Indiana University. On the back of photo, her father had written the words, “Penis Education.” Esther was interviewed to confirm this information for this brief and expressed that she can die in peace if her testimony can finally expose the Kinsey Institute and prevent it from being an accredited organization by the United Nations. (A letter she has written to the UN accrediting committee can be found in Appendix 3.) The Kinsey Institute dismisses Esther’s claims with this statement on their website, “Kinsey did not ask people to fill out questionnaires or forms. There was no experimentation, and no one was ‘recruited’ to ‘participate,’ and certainly not to molest anyone.” It is surprising that not only did Kinsey collect data from child abusers, but he accepted them as scientifically valid, or at least he presented it to the world as if it were valid scientific research showing children are sexual from birth.

Former Kinsey institute director John Bancroft admitted that Kinsey lied about his data, yet the Institute is still publishing them as if they were valid. Bancroft attempted to put the child sex abuse controversy to rest saying, “Soon after I became Director of The Kinsey Institute, I decided to check the source of the information in Tables 31 to 34 ... I confirmed, beyond any doubt, that all of these data in Tables 31

to 34 had been collected by one man, who from 1917 until Kinsey interviewed him in the mid-1940s, had been documenting his numerous sexual experiences."⁴² If Bancroft confirmed "beyond any doubt" that there was only one man involved, why did Kinsey himself say there were nine, and how does this reflect on the validity of his other research? Bancroft hypothesizes that it is because Kinsey "did not want to draw attention to this one man, or alternatively, because he was particularly interested in this evidence and did not want to diminish its possible scientific credibility by revealing its single source."⁴³ In other words, Kinsey was willing to lie to protect a serial rapist/pedophile (or pedophiles) and the "scientific validity" of his data, which would no longer be considered valid if the single source were known, since from a research design standpoint, to project sexual responses reported by one man to the whole population is ludicrous, and in and of itself, a fatal flaw. So we are left with two choices: either Bancroft was lying, or Kinsey was lying about the source for the Table 31 to 34 data. Regardless of which one you believe, the data are invalid, non-representative, and were obtained through the criminal sexual abuse of children.

Paul Gebhard, who worked alongside Kinsey as part of the original research team, directly contradicts Bancroft's claim. In a phone interview included in the documentary titled, *The Kinsey Syndrome*, Gebhard, when asked who did the timing for the orgasm experiments said, "It was dependent upon who it was. Most of it was done by one individual—a man with some scientific training but not a known scientist. The other cases were done by parents at our suggestion ... and then there were some that were done by nursery school personnel." He was then asked, "Was that at your suggestion, too?" Gebhard responds, "Yes. These people would report to us ... we asked them to watch [sexual behavior] and take notes, and if possible, time it and report back to us... Particularly the one man, but there was several pedophiles that gave us data." Then when asked, "Do pedophiles normally go around with stopwatches?" Gebhard says, "They do if we tell them that we're interested in it."⁴⁴

Additionally, in the trial of Fritz von Balluseck, a German pedophile with whom Kinsey communicated, the judge said to von Balluseck after reviewing letters Kinsey had sent him, "I got the impression that you got to the children in order to impress Kinsey and to deliver him material." Von Balluseck replied, "Kinsey himself asked me for that."⁴⁵

The Kinsey Institute has repeatedly refused to make their files available for scientific review or for the victims of the Kinsey pedophile reports. The Institute even refused to offer information in the investigation of a child victim who was suspected to have been killed by von Balluseck. Former Kinsey Institute director, John Bancroft, has said, "We will never reveal the identity of anybody involved to anybody else."⁴⁶ He even went so far as to say that revealing the pedophiles' identities would be "immorality...of the highest order."⁴⁷ This clearly shows the Kinsey Institute's firm position to protect pedophile informants while leaving children vulnerable to sexual abuse. It is ironic that virtually the only sexual behavior on which the Kinsey Institute claims to take a "moral" stand is the protection of known criminal child sex abusers.

The continuing promulgation of Kinsey's child sex research as recorded by one pedophile (or several, depending on which Kinsey statement is to be believed) is an affront to the scientific community. Refusal to grant alleged victims access to this criminally obtained data is a fundamental breach of their human rights. Further, the Kinsey Institute continues to profit from the sales of republished volumes of the *Kinsey Reports*. Whether Kinsey's child sex data were compiled by one man or nine men, the sexual experiences documented with babies and children in Kinsey's original volumes were, and still are, illegal; therefore, the Kinsey Institute continues to profit from publishing as science the illegal acts of a pedophile or pedophiles.

4. THE KINSEY INSTITUTE'S CLAIM: WE ADVANCE "SEXUAL HEALTH."

Alfred Kinsey and his early research team practiced and promoted high-risk sexual behaviors, and the Kinsey Institute continues to endorse high-risk sexual practices such as anal sex and the extensive use of pornography today.

Kinsey and his colleagues practiced sexual masochism, masturbation, adultery, and homosexuality. Kinsey is reported to have shared his wife sexually with other men, engaged in sex acts with his fellow male researchers, and often had sex with men he interviewed for his research.⁴⁸ Kinsey is said to have circumcised himself without anesthesia, repeatedly pierced his genitals, masturbated by inserting a brush into his urethra, and injured himself by tying his scrotum to a rope while jumping off a chair. And "one Kinsey researcher bragged about having bedded a dog."⁴⁹ All of these behaviors run counter to the advancement of sexual health. The Kinsey Institute has never condemned these behaviors, but rather, it proudly renamed the Institute in Kinsey's honor. It might be argued that it would be difficult for a person who practiced these extreme, high-risk sexual behaviors to present an unbiased picture of what normal sexual behavior in a society looks like. Former director of the Kinsey Institute, John Bancroft, said of Kinsey that "he rebelled against what he regarded as the destructively repressive sexual mores of his time."⁵⁰ Indicative of this, Kinsey believed that there were "only three kind(s) of sexual abnormalities: abstinence, celibacy, and delayed marriage,"⁵¹ ironically, all practices that promote legitimate sexual health.

The Kinsey Institute promotes anal sex with condoms as "safe" despite scientific evidence to the contrary. Former U.S. Surgeon General, C. Everett Koop, said, "Condoms provide some protection, but anal intercourse is simply too dangerous to practice."⁵² In fact, the U.S. Federal Drug Administration (FDA) website clearly states, "Condoms may be more likely to break during anal intercourse than during other types of sex because of the greater amount of friction and other stresses involved. Even if the condom doesn't break, anal intercourse is very risky because it can cause tissue in the rectum to tear and bleed. These tears allow disease germs to pass more easily from one partner to the other."⁵³ However, on their website for college-age adults—kinseyconfidential.org—the Kinsey Institute promotes condoms for use in anal sex, saying that doing so makes it "absolutely safe." One article, "Q&A: Is Anal Sex Safe?" states, "Yes. Anal sex can absolutely be a safe way to experience sex, just as vaginal intercourse can be a safe way to experience sex ... STIs, including chlamydia, gonorrhea, HPV, and HIV can all be passed through anal intercourse, so if you plan to have anal sex, it would be a wise idea to use condoms."⁵⁴ The author of this article, Debby Herbenick, is a sexual health educator at The Kinsey Institute. She is also the author of, *Good in Bed Guide to Anal Pleasuring*, and the creator of MySexProfessor.com,⁵⁵ a website that openly promotes masturbation and embraces Internet pornography as acceptable entertainment.

Alfred Kinsey produced and directed films depicting sex acts in the attic of his own home.⁵⁶ These early pornographic films, produced at a time when it was illegal to record sexual acts, involved "volunteers and members of his staff" and were filmed for supposedly academic purposes and to ensure that there was "no shame or guilt or repugnance attached to any sexual activity among senior staff members."⁵⁷ These films reportedly involved a variety of sexual behaviors including masochism, masturbation, adulterous sex, sadism, and homosexual relations.

Kinsey Institute staff members have been accused of promoting radical unhealthy sexual ideologies. For example, the Kinsey Institute's Wardell Pomeroy, after accepting a position with the Sexuality Information and Education Council of the United States (SIECUS), an organization reportedly established as the education outreach arm for the Institute, argued publicly for relaxing the taboos against pedophilia and even incest. A 1980 *Time* magazine article called "Attacking the Last Taboo" quoted Pomeroy: "It is time to admit that incest need not be a perversion or a symptom of mental illness." He said, "Incest between ... children and adults ... can sometimes be beneficial." *Time* magazine referred to Pomeroy as being part of the "pro-incest lobby."⁵⁸

A recent *Kinsey Confidential* website article for college-age adults indicates that it is common for men and women to view extreme sexual images. The site states, “Many people watch sexually explicit images of group sex, bondage, anal sex, or oral sex even though they may not partake in those types of sex.”⁵⁹

Recent research on the human brain shows that viewing pornography can create addictions that negatively impact the brain in the same way as heroin and that pornography is especially damaging to the developing brains of children. In a paper by Jennifer Brown called “The Physiological Effects of Innocent Exposure to Soft-core Pornography on the Developing Brain,” the author presents peer-reviewed research on the brain showing how even seemingly innocent sexual images can have serious negative impacts on the brain. Yet the Kinsey Institute has defended using hardcore pornography in research experiments and denies that pornography consumption is addictive as recently as March, 2014.⁶⁰ These claims totally reject the growing body of evidence over the past decade documenting the negative impact of pornography addiction. This is not the position of an organization truly interested in promoting sexual health.

The Kinsey Institute proudly houses a huge collection of pornography on-site available for student researchers and others to view. Their porn art collection includes 48,000 photographs, 7,000 artifacts, 8,000 films, and 4,000 videos categorized by such terms as Peepshow, Animal Sex Behavior, Swedish Erotica and Art, Exploitation, Pornographic Feature Films, Heterosexual and Homosexual Erotica, Sexual Education and Therapy, Transgender, and Sadomasochism/Bondage.⁶¹ Art pieces from their pornography collection are put on public display at their annual Juried Art Show. The Kinsey Institute also raises funds for the Foundation for Sex Positive Culture, which organizes the annual Seattle Erotic Art Festival featuring sexually explicit artwork and sexual entertainment of all kinds.⁶²

The Kinsey Institute publicizes newly available pornographic works. A link on the Kinsey Institute’s home page at kinseyinstitute.org introduces site visitors to a new addition to their archives: “*My Secret Life*,” which is the lengthy, anonymous sexual memoir of a Victorian era man. This book is described as “one of the most openly erotic books ever written.” The link includes an animated picture of a totally nude couple engaged in sexual intercourse.

The *Kinsey Reports* was listed as one of the “The Ten Most Harmful Books of the 19th and 20th Centuries” by the Human Events Group of scholars and public policy leaders. The *Kinsey Reports* was listed fourth on the list, right after *The Communist Manifesto*, by Karl Marx and Freidrich Engels; *Mein Kampf*, by Adolf Hitler; and *Quotations from Chairman Mao*, by Mao Zedong.⁶³ The writings and philosophies of Marx, Engels, Hitler, and Mao have been used as the justification for actions that have resulted in the deaths of tens of millions of people. It has been said that the writings and pseudo research of Alfred Kinsey are primarily responsible for the moral decay of millions of people due to the modification of morality laws influenced by his research. As sexual responsibility is abandoned in favor of sexual promiscuity and licentiousness, the public health costs to society are enormous and virtually incalculable.⁶⁴

WHAT IS THE KINSEY INSTITUTE DOING TODAY?

Over the years the Kinsey Institute has managed to dodge most accusations and attacks, despite the well-documented, damning information exposing their past ties to pedophiles and the sexual abuse of children. The Institute's sex research provides the rationale for the indiscriminate promotion of sexual deviancy, licentiousness and promiscuity, which is a multibillion dollar industry in the United States and across the world. It publishes research on many sexual topics, not all of which are controversial. Relying on this apparent legitimacy, the Kinsey Institute misled the UN Committee on NGOs on their ECOSOC application and in their testimony. Upon close examination, evidence of their radical sexual agenda becomes readily apparent. One need look no further than their new cell phone app designed to turn everyone into amateur Kinsey sex researchers.

The Kinsey Reporter Cell Phone App: A Cause for Concern for All Countries

The research design of the new “Kinsey Reporter” cell phone app⁶⁵ is as flawed as Kinsey's original research and is apparently designed to achieve the same results, this time on a worldwide basis. No legitimate research organization would continue to employ what they have already admitted as flawed methodology, yet this cell phone app does exactly that as it helps the user track sexual activity across the globe. Users are invited to “submit reports about any sexual behavior you observe—including your own—anytime and anywhere.” Anonymous users can fill out “surveys” about a variety of sexual topics including pornography use, unwanted sexual experience, sexual fetishes, flirting, public displays of affection, and sexual activity. The app allows users to indicate the gender, age, attitude, numbers of people involved and other indicators, including an “under 20” designation that would allow reports about sexual activity involving teens, children, or even infants. As users from across the world enter information, it is displayed on a map indicating approximate time and location of each sexual event and the country from which it is reported. Then as users of any age scroll across the map on the Kinsey Reporter site, descriptive phrases pop up for sexual behavior that has been reported like, “solo, man, pleasure, orgasm, fun.” Or “threesome, loving, bisexual, man, man, woman.” Or “oral sex, young man, woman, lust.”

It is interesting to note that the Kinsey Reporter site says, “Kinsey Reporter invites you to become a citizen scientist by contributing to sex research.” Apparently, the Kinsey Institute still does not accept the definitions and protocols of “scientist” and “research,” as any information the Reporter app might generate qualifies as neither science nor research. The data collected by this app are completely unreliable, unverifiable, unrepresentative, and potentially fabricated; therefore, they are useless in any legitimate scientific research. The app instead appears to be solely designed to sexualize societies around the world, the same objective Kinsey undertook in the United States some 65 years ago with the publication of his male and female books claiming to document sexuality in the U.S.

The wording on the Kinsey Reporter app webpage is riddled with inconsistencies. For instance, the site includes Alfred Kinsey's infamous quote, “We are the recorders and reporters of facts—not the judges of the behaviors we describe,” but then also states that, “Kinsey Reporter staff makes no effort to verify the accuracy of crowd-sourced reports.” So while they say they are reporting “facts” they make no effort to make sure their facts are factual. The site further claims that, “By using Kinsey Reporter, you contribute to research on human sexual behavior,” but then this statement is contradicted indicating that the information collected “is not based on a random or representative sample of a community or population.”

In his day, Alfred Kinsey solicited sexual information from the public, saying, “Persons who have kept calendars, or who are willing to begin keeping day-by-day calendars showing the source and frequency of their sexual outlet are urged to write to us for instructions.”⁶⁶ Clearly the very same model is being employed by the Kinsey Institute today in the form of the Kinsey Reporter app. Data contributors are told they are “scientists,” thus, lending validity and

status to any sexual encounters they may have; even if they are forced and criminal. This model clearly illustrates that Kinsey's current disciples are using the same tactics Kinsey used before them: collect questionable, unverifiable, unrepresentative data, present it to the public, and watch as the world believes the worst about itself and adjusts its laws to include and even protect and promote harmful sexual behaviors and perspectives.

The Institute is encouraging people to report "any" sexual activity they see. And in keeping with the Kinsey Institute's intense interest in pornography, the Kinsey Reporter asks users what kind of porn they are watching: soft, hard, child modeling, amateur, bisexual, female friendly, violent, fetish, bondage, gay or lesbian—even if participants are watching *violent child porn*, they want to document it. This latest Kinsey Reporter project flies in the face of the claims the Kinsey Institute made to the NGO committee of providing "research-based" information that will support "sexual responsibility."

UN Member States should be forewarned that the "research" the Kinsey Institute collects with their new phone app from the reports of self-selected "citizen scientists" from countries around the world will likely be used to make the case either by the Kinsey Institute or the sexual rights activist groups with whom it partners to decriminalize sex acts in the countries where reports of certain behaviors are received. It will almost certainly also be used by countries and organizations seeking to establish an international right to explicit "sex positive" comprehensive sexuality education programs⁶⁷ for even the youngest of children under the "right to health." (See Appendix 4 for examples.)

Once governments understand that the Kinsey Reporter app will likely be used by aggressive "sexual rights" advocacy groups to generate sex data that will almost certainly be used to try to change their policies, laws, education curricula, and especially sexuality education in their countries, these words from the testimony of the Kinsey Institute's representative before the UN Committee on NGOs take on new meaning:

"Our work, we realized, has been very U.S.-centric over the years, and we feel a strong commitment and responsibility to be available on an international level; to be available to you and to your countries and to the UN agencies to provide research-based information that we may have that may help in policy decisions or in education or in health care."

In other words, the Kinsey Institute wants to go global and wants to use to use their associations and influence at the United Nations to give them an increased appearance of credibility.

The World Health Organization is in the process of revising its classifications of mental and behavioral disorders and an "International Advisory Group" has been established to guide this process. It is possible that the unscientific sex data collected around the world by the Kinsey Institute and using the Kinsey Reporter app may be used to try to influence the classification of deviant or unhealthy sexual behaviors in this manual as normal and healthy. *This is just the beginning if the Kinsey Institute is allowed to continue to enjoy consultative status at the United Nations.*

CALL TO ACTION

Now that the Kinsey Institute has been granted UN accreditation, its potential to affect and influence public opinion, views, laws, and education on human sexuality around the world has substantially increased. As the Institute expands its self-described mission to “advance sexual freedom”⁶⁸ to the countries of the world, it is almost certain that laws that support sexual responsibility, protect the innocence of children, and promote public health will be undermined across the globe, just as they have been in the United States and other countries. The Kinsey Institute is already responsible, directly or indirectly, for harming countless children and for fueling the sexual revolution worldwide.

With ECOSOC accreditation, the potential for the Kinsey Institute to do vastly greater harm is now a reality unless all who understand the threat join together to warn parents, educators, policymakers and medical professionals.

We call upon all responsible citizens, officers of government, civil society leaders and organizations to join the Stop the Kinsey Institute Coalition to expose the danger and oppose the efforts of the Kinsey Institute and all organizations that advocate policies and programs based on its flawed research claims and dangerous and unsupported sexual “rights” advocacy.

You can help by taking one or more of the following four steps:

- 1 Go to StoptheKinseyInstitute.org and sign the letter to world leaders warning them and asking them to help Stop the Kinsey Institute.
- 2 Find this brief on the StoptheKinseyInstitute.org website and forward it to as many people as you can, inviting them to sign the letter.
- 3 Send this brief to as many high-level government officials as you can asking them to support the rescinding of the consultative status of the Kinsey Institute at the United Nations.
- 4 Go to your local school and ask to review their family life or sex education (or comprehensive sexuality education) curriculum to make sure it has not been influenced by dangerous Kinsey sexual ideologies. Please report to us if you find their harmful sex philosophies have infiltrated your school, and then organize other parents to help you have it removed.

Endnotes:

1. When speaking before the Economic and Social Council's NGO Committee of the UN to apply for Special Consultative Status, Kinsey Institute Communications Director, Jennifer Bass, stated: “We are a research institute... Our work, we realized, has been very U.S.-centric over the years, and we feel a strong commitment and responsibility to be available on an international level, to be available to you and to your countries and to the UN agencies to provide research-based information that we may have that may help in policy decisions or in education or in health care... We are not an advocacy organization. We are a research institute. We do not advocate for any particular stand other than responsible sexual behavior and advancing sexual health and knowledge worldwide. That is where we stand.” Full testimony can be viewed online at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D1L2K6oOtfM>

2. Sexual Attitude Readjustment (Restructuring or Reassessing) Seminars are required for Sexology certification and usually include the required viewing of many hours, days or longer of explicit images depicting a wide range of sexual acts including sadism, masochism, homosexual and heterosexual acts, sodomy and bestiality for the alleged purpose of desensitizing the viewer to these acts.

3. The Kinsey Institute. (n.d.). *Sexuality 2012: Research, Activism, and Social Change*. Retrieved from <http://kinseyinstitute.org/events/sexuality2012.html>

4. The Pride Foundation. (n.d.). *Who we are: Our history*. Retrieved from <http://www.pridefoundation.org/about/history/>

5. Tor. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.torproject.org/>
6. The Subcommittee on Sex Crimes of the Assembly Interim Committee on Judicial System and Judicial Process (Preliminary Report), H. Res. 232-1949, 43-1949 At 103, 105, 117 (Cal.1949).
7. Reisman, J. G., Staver, M. D., Akers, S. D., Crampton, S. M., Mast, R. L., Schmid, D. J. (2014). Reliance on Kinsey's "Scientific" Child Sex Atrocities and the Effects of His Crime and Fraud on Past and Current Law and Public Policy. *Kinsey's Criminal Psychopathology in American Law*. Retrieved from http://www.drjudithreisman.com/archives/Exhibit_C_-_Reisman_-_Kinseys_Criminal_Psychopathology_in_American_Law.pdf
8. Pomeroy, W. B. (1972). *Dr. Kinsey and the Institute for Sex Research*. New Haven, CN: Yale University Press.
9. These lawmakers were members of the American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC).
10. The American Legislative Exchange Council. (2004). *Restoring Legal Protections for Women and Children: A Historical Analysis of the States' Criminal Code*. Washington, DC: Jeffrey, L.
11. Flynn, D. J. (2004). *Intellectual Morons: How Ideology Makes Smart People Fall for Stupid Ideas*. New York, NY: Crown Forum.
12. RSVP America Campaign. (2009). *Scientific Fraud at the Heart of Roe v. Wade: Kinsey's Abortion Legacy: An Overview of Unexamined Abortion Data Cited by Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), to the American Law Institute Model Penal Code, whose authorities are Calderone's Abortion in the United States, 1958, and the Kinsey Institute's Third Volume, Pregnancy, Birth and Abortion, 1958*. Crestwood, Kentucky: Jeffrey, L., Ray, R. D.
13. Reisman, J. G., (2003). *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*. Crestwood, KY: Institute for Media Education.
14. Reisman, J. G., Staver, M. D., Akers, S. D., Crampton, S. M., Mast, R. L., Schmid, D. J. (2014). Reliance on Kinsey's "Scientific" Child Sex Atrocities and the Effects of His Crime and Fraud on Past and Current Law and Public Policy. *Kinsey's Criminal Psychopathology in American Law*. Retrieved from http://www.drjudithreisman.com/archives/Exhibit_C_-_Reisman_-_Kinseys_Criminal_Psychopathology_in_American_Law.pdf
15. Discover the Networks. (n.d.). *John D'Emilio*. Retrieved from <http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/individualProfile.asp?id=1996>
16. Fitzpatrick, B. World Net Daily. (2010, October 17). *Stunner! Kinsey Paid My Father to Rape Me*. Retrieved from <http://www.wnd.com/2010/10/213213/>
17. Flynn, D. J. (2004). *Intellectual Morons: How Ideology Makes Smart People Fall for Stupid Ideas*. New York, NY: Crown Forum.
18. Flynn, D. J. (2004). *Intellectual Morons: How Ideology Makes Smart People Fall for Stupid Ideas*. New York, NY: Crown Forum.
19. Flynn, D. J. (2004). *Intellectual Morons: How Ideology Makes Smart People Fall for Stupid Ideas*. New York, NY: Crown Forum.
20. Jones, J. (2004). *Alfred C. Kinsey: A Life*. New York, NY: W. W. Norton & Company.
21. Flynn, D. J. (2004). *Intellectual Morons: How Ideology Makes Smart People Fall for Stupid Ideas*. New York, NY: Crown Forum.
22. NAMBLA. (n.d.). *Alfred Kinsey*. Retrieved from <http://nambla.org/kinsey.html>
23. Tsang, D. (Ed.). (1981). *The Age Taboo: Gay Male Sexuality, Power, and Consent*. Boston, MA: Alyson Publications.
24. Hannon, G. (1994). Gay Youth and the Question of Consent. In K. Jay & A. Young (Eds.), *Lavender Culture* (pp. 342-364). New York, NY: New York University Press.
25. Hannon, G. (1994). Gay Youth and the Question of Consent. In K. Jay & A. Young (Eds.), *Lavender Culture* (pp. 342-364). New York, NY: New York University Press.
26. O'Carroll, T. (2014). *Paedophilia - The Radical Case* [Revised Html version]. Retrieved from https://www.ipce.info/host/radicase/radical_new_cleaned1.pdf
27. Kinsey, A. (1998). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
28. Flynn, D. J. (2004). *Intellectual Morons: How Ideology Makes Smart People Fall for Stupid Ideas*. New York, NY: Crown Forum.
29. History of Comprehensive Sexuality Education. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.stopsexualizingchildren.org/ssc/history.cfm>

30. History of Comprehensive Sexuality Education. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.stopsexualizingchildren.org/ssc/history.cfm>.
31. History of Comprehensive Sexuality Education. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.stopsexualizingchildren.org/ssc/history.cfm>.
32. Bancroft, J. (2004). Alfred C. Kinsey and the Politics of Sex Research. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 15, 1-39.
33. Kinsey, A. (1998). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
34. Kinsey, A. (1998). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
35. Kinsey, A. (1998). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
36. Kinsey, A. (1998). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
37. Kinsey, A. (1998). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
38. Kinsey, A. (1998). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
39. The Kinsey Institute. (n.d.). *Library and Special Collections*. Retrieved from <http://kinseyinstitute.org/library/>
40. Kinsey, A. (1998). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
41. Kinsey, A. (1998). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
42. Bancroft, J. (2004). Alfred C. Kinsey and the Politics of Sex Research. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 15, 1-39.
43. Bancroft, J. (2004). Alfred C. Kinsey and the Politics of Sex Research. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 15, 1-39.
44. Aliano, C., Aguilar, S. (Producers), & Pinto, C. J. (Director). (2008). *The Kinsey Syndrome* [Motion picture]. (Available from American History Films).
45. Tate, T. (Producer). (1998). *Secret History: Kinsey's Paedophiles* [Television series episode]. Yorkshire Television.
46. Tate, T. (Producer). (1998). *Secret History: Kinsey's Paedophiles* [Television series episode]. Yorkshire Television.
47. Tate, T. (Producer). (1998). *Secret History: Kinsey's Paedophiles* [Television series episode]. Yorkshire Television.
48. Aliano, C., Aguilar, S. (Producers), & Pinto, C. J. (Director). (2008). *The Kinsey Syndrome* [Motion picture]. (Available from American History Films).
49. Flynn, D. J. (2004). *Intellectual Morons: How Ideology Makes Smart People Fall for Stupid Ideas*. New York, NY: Crown Forum; NNDB. (2014). *Alfred Kinsey*. Retrieved from <http://www.nndb.com/people/413/000059236/>
50. Flynn, D. J. (2004). *Intellectual Morons: How Ideology Makes Smart People Fall for Stupid Ideas*. New York, NY: Crown Forum.
51. Bancroft, J. (2004). Alfred C. Kinsey and the Politics of Sex Research. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 15, 1-39.
52. Statement of C. Everett Koop, MD, ScD, Surgeon General, U.S. Public Health Service and Deputy Assistant Secretary of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Before the Committee on Energy and Commerce, U.S. House of Representatives, February 10, 1987.
53. American Life League's STOPP International. (2014). FDA has continuously warned against condom use for anal sex—Time to sue Planned Parenthood and schools. Retrieved from <http://www.stopp.org/article.php?id=13565>; U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2014). Condoms and Sexually Transmitted Diseases. Retrieved from <http://www.fda.gov/forconsumers/byaudience/forpatientadvocates/hivandaidsactivities/ucm126372.htm#strong>
54. Herbenick, D. Kinsey Confidential. (2013, December 12). Q&A: Is Anal Sex Safe? Retrieved from <http://kinseyconfidential.org/anal-sex-safe/>
55. My Sex Professor. (2011). *The Pros and Cons of the .XXX Domain*. Retrieved from <http://mysexprofessor.com/sex-in-the-news/the-pros-and-cons-of-the-xxx-domain/>

56. Reisman, J. G., (2010). *Sexual Sabotage: How One Mad Scientist Unleashed a Plague of Corruption and Contagion on America*. Washington, DC: WND Books.
57. Reisman, J. G., (2010). *Sexual Sabotage: How One Mad Scientist Unleashed a Plague of Corruption and Contagion on America*. Washington, DC: WND Books.
58. (1980, April 14). Attacking the Last Taboo. *Time*, 115, 72.
59. Shifron, G. Indiana Daily Student. (2007). *Health & Science: Kinsey Confidential*. Retrieved from <https://www.idsnews.com/news/story.aspx?id=57920>
60. Fisher, A. (2014). Kinsey Confidential. *Frequent Porn Use: "Addiction" Label Inaccurate*. Retrieved from <http://kinseyconfidential.org/frequent-porn-popular-addiction-label-lacks-evidence/>.
61. The Kinsey Institute. (n.d.). *Library and Special Collections*. Retrieved from <http://www.kinseyinstitute.org/library/index.html>
62. Seattle Erotic Art Festival. (2014). Retrieved from <http://thefspc.org/>
63. Human Events. (2005). *Ten Most Harmful Books of the 19th and 20th Centuries*. Retrieved from <http://www.humanevents.com/2005/05/31/ten-most-harmful-books-of-the-19th-and-20th-centuries/>
64. RSVP America Campaign. (n.d.). *Alfred Kinsey and His Impact on American Law*. Crestwood, KY: Shaheen, R.
65. Kinsey Reporter. (2014). Retrieved from <http://kinseyreporter.org/>
66. Tate, T. (Producer). (1998). *Secret History: Kinsey's Paedophiles* [Television series episode]. Yorkshire Television.
67. See excerpts about comprehensive sexuality education at:
http://www.foxnews.com/projects/pdf/082509_unesco.pdf; http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/topics/gender_rights/sexual_health/en/;
http://www.oif.ac.at/fileadmin/OEIF/andere_Publikationen/WHO_BZgA_Standards.pdfand; <http://thefspc.org/sexuality-2012/>
68. Tate, T. (Producer). (1998). *Secret History: Kinsey's Paedophiles* [Television series episode]. Yorkshire Television.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX 1: QUESTIONS THE KINSEY INSTITUTE
MUST ANSWER

APPENDIX 2: KINSEY REPORT TABLES 32 & 34

APPENDIX 3: LETTER FROM “ESTHER WHITE,”
VICTIM OF KINSEY INSTITUTE SEXUAL ABUSE

APPENDIX 4: COMPREHENSIVE SEXUALITY EDUCATION (CSE),
EXCERPTS FROM THE WORLD HEALTH
ORGANIZATION AND UNESCO

10 Questions for the Kinsey Institute

The founder of the Kinsey Institute, Alfred Kinsey, co-authored, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female* and *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. Both publications are currently in print and widely available.

Regarding pedophilia, in the *Female* volume, Kinsey writes:

"It is difficult to understand why a child, except for its cultural conditioning, should be disturbed at having its genitalia touched, or disturbed at seeing the genitalia of other persons, or disturbed at even more specific sexual contacts... Adult contacts...are not likely to do the child any appreciable harm if the child's parents do not become disturbed."

Please explain:

(a) If the current position of the Kinsey Institute is that adult-child sexual contact is an acceptable and harmless behavior under any circumstance.

(b) The Institute's position on pedophilia or "adult/child sexual contact" of any kind.

(c) If the institute has repudiated the position represented in the above quote, please also provide the earliest repudiation statement in context and the date it was made. Also, please provide a list of any other statements or conclusions presented in this volume that have been repudiated, withdrawn or otherwise modified by the Institute.

2) In the book, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, Kinsey, states that bestiality, (human sex with animals) is a "normal" part of life, and that therapists should "reassure" people who practice bestiality that it is a normal sexual behavior (p. 677). Currently, bestiality is illegal in the majority of UN Member States. Please explain in detail what the Kinsey Institute's position is on bestiality today.

3) Please explain how the data on child orgasms (including from infants and toddlers) in Table 34 of *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* were obtained? Specifically, please make available the following:

(a) Information on how the Institute came in contact with the pedophiles who conducted the sexual experimentation on children.

(b) All files relating to the communications the Kinsey Institute or their past or present staff members had with the pedophiles who allegedly brought these children to orgasm.

(c) Information on any follow up efforts to see the impact on the sexual development and well-being of children who were subject of the sexual experiments by pedophiles that were document in Table 34.

(d) Information on any attempts by the Kinsey Institute to report illegal sexual activities with children to authorities.

(e) Information on any current or past Kinsey Institute researchers, employees, staff, or affiliates that have been arrested for child molestation or other serious crimes.

4) Please explain why the Kinsey Institute has cosponsored at least one event with and raised funds for the Foundation for Sex Positive Culture, which advocates for many things that are illegal in numerous UN Member States, including sex with multiple partners, bondage sex, anal sex, homosexuality, and the public display of pornography.

5) Regarding the Kinsey Institute's new cellular phone app, "The Kinsey Reporter," (www.kinseyreporter.org), and which the Institute is encouraging people around the world to download to their phones, please explain:

(a) Why, on the website, the Institute tells people, "by using the Kinsey Reporter, you are contributing to the research on human sexual behavior," but then also states on the page that describes the app that the data have no scientific value. Please explain this discrepancy.

(b) Your representative stated in her testimony to the U.N. Committee that the Kinsey Institute is a research organization. Since the Institute admits that the data collected through the app have no research or scientific value, what is the Institute's purpose for asking people to report on the type of pornography they are watching and the sex acts they are engaged in or witnessing? Please explain in detail why such information is being collected by the Institute on an international basis and the purpose for the interactive world map where the sex data are posted.

(c) Is it the intention of the Institute to allow the sex data collected through this app or by any other means to be used by advocacy organizations to modify or repeal laws relating to sexual behavior in any UN Member States?

6) Conclusive scientific evidence accumulating over the past decade shows that pornography can alter normal brain structure and function and can become addictive for many people. Research also shows that the harmful effects are particularly severe in young people. Since the Kinsey Institute collects and preserves an extensive collection of erotic and pornographic movies, books, and magazines, including depictions of homosexual and heterosexual sex acts, and periodically makes this kind of material available to the public, please explain in detail:

(a) How the Institute, an organization that states it is dedicated to the promotion of "sexual health," reconciles its position on pornography, which is not only unhealthy, but is illegal in many UN Member States.

(b) Whether any of the erotic or pornographic materials in the Kinsey collection depict the nudity of children or could be considered in any way to be child pornography. (Please provide the list of all of the titles in the Kinsey collection.)

(c) The Institute's purpose for collecting this material and making it available to the public.

(d) Whether the Institute would ever exhibit its collection at the United Nations or in any UN Member State, and if so, under what circumstances.

7) It is widely reported that the founder of the Kinsey Institute, Alfred Kinsey, engaged adultery, masochistic masturbation and in heterosexual and homosexual sex (with his students, staff and their spouses), and made pornographic films, including filming the sex acts of his co-workers and students as part of his scientific research, even though many of these activities were illegal at the time, and are illegal in many UN Member States today. Please explain in detail:

(a) Which, if any, of these research techniques are still used by the Institute currently or are condoned by the Institute as acceptable research techniques.

(b) If the Institute intends to gather data on any of these behaviors in any UN Member State in which these behaviors are illegal.

(c) The Institute's policy on respecting the national, state and local laws in any country in which it may become active.

8) In 1949, in Kinsey's testimony before the California Subcommittee on Sex Crimes, he stated that the research conducted by the Institute confirms that sex offenders do not re-offend. He then called for full parole for all sex criminals without exception. These positions are directly contrary to extensive research showing the high incidence of repeat sex crimes by sex offenders. This problem is so severe that a number of countries have instituted mandatory sex offender registries to warn communities and law enforcement regarding the whereabouts of convicted sex offenders. Please explain what the position is of the Kinsey Institute on these issues today? If it has repudiated this position statement by Dr. Kinsey, please provide evidence of such.

9) There are reports that Kinsey Institute research has been subsidized by sex industry businesses that may benefit financially from its findings and, therefore, the findings might be biased or compromised. Please provide the the following:

(a) A list of any sex industry businesses or leaders (i.e., any individuals, companies, organizations, or businesses that profit from the sale of pornography or explicit images via the Internet, magazines, videos or any other medium) that have made financial contributions of \$1,000 or more to the Kinsey Institute since its founding.

(b) Any current or past connections, if any, that the current or past Kinsey Institute staff, researchers or associates may have had to sex industry businesses, as per above..

10) In "The Kinsey Reports" volumes, the Kinsey Institute continues to publish controversial sex research that it has admitted was based on flawed methodology and not based on random or representative samples. Numerous critics over the years have pointed out that, in a similar position, any responsible research institute would have withdrawn and/or repudiated

its questionable findings and ceased to perpetuate them. The fact that the Kinsey Institute has not done so, critics say, is proof that it is not a research organization as it claimed in its testimony before the Committee, but rather a group that is fabricating science to be used to advocate for legal and social change on controversial laws and policies related to sex and sexuality. Please explain in detail:

(a) How the Kinsey Institute responds to such criticism.

(b) All efforts the Kinsey Institute has made to correct, withdraw or repudiate Kinsey published sex research findings known to not be based on sound scientific methodology, or which failed to use random and representative samples.

Table 32

Page 178 of *Sexual Behavior and the Human Male*
by Alfred Kinsey - Published in 1948

| TIME | CASES TIMED | PERCENT OF POPULATION | CUMULATED PERCENT |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Up to 10 sec. | 12 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| 10 sec. to 1 min. | 46 | 24.5 | 30.9 |
| 1 to 2 min. | 40 | 21.3 | 52.2 |
| 2 to 3 min. | 23 | 12.2 | 64.4 |
| 3 to 5 min. | 33 | 17.5 | 81.9 |
| 5 to 10 min. | 23 | 12.2 | 94.1 |
| Over 10 min. | 11 | 5.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 188 | 100.0 | |

Mean time to climax: 3.02 minutes
Median time to climax: 1.91 minutes

Table 32. Speed of pre-adolescent orgasm

Duration of stimulation before climax; observations timed with second hand or stop watch. Ages range from five months of age to adolescence.

Table 34

Page 180 of *Sexual Behavior and the Human Male*
by Alfred Kinsey - Published in 1948

| AGE | NO. OF ORGASMS | TIME INVOLVED | AGE | NO. OF ORGASMS | TIME INVOLVED |
|---------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-------------------|------------------|
| 5 mon. | 3 | ? | 11 yr. | 11 | 1 hr. |
| 11 mon. | 10 | 1 hr. | 11 yr. | 19 | 1 hr. |
| 11 mon. | 14 | 38 min. | 12 yr. | 7 | 3 hr. |
| 2 yr. | { 7 | 9 min. | 12 yr. | { 3 | 3 min. |
| 2½ yr. | { 11 | 65 min. | 12 yr. | { 9 | 2 hr. |
| 4 yr. | 4 | 2 min. | 12 yr. | 12 | 2 hr. |
| 4 yr. | 6 | 5 min. | 12 yr. | 15 | 1 hr. |
| 4 yr. | 17 | 10 hr. | 13 yr. | 7 | 24 min. |
| 4 yr. | 26 | 24 hr. | 13 yr. | 8 | 2½ hr. |
| 7 yr. | 7 | 3 hr. | 13 yr. | 9 | 8 hr. |
| 8 yr. | 8 | 2 hr. | | { 3 | 70 sec. |
| 9 yr. | 7 | 68 min. | 13 yr. | { 11 | 8 hr. |
| 10 yr. | 9 | 52 min. | | { 26 | 24 hr. |
| 10 yr. | 14 | 24 hr. | 14 yr. | 11 | 4 hr. |

Table 34. Examples of multiple orgasm in pre-adolescent males

Some instances of higher frequencies.

April 12, 2014

To the United Nations Accreditation Committee:

I was outraged when I saw the Internet video of the Kinsey Institute testifying before the United Nations accreditation committee for NGO status. I was infuriated that there was no opposition to their application. Were all of those on the Committee ignorant of the Kinsey Institute's history and the founder, Alfred Kinsey, with his famous "orgasm charts" documenting the molesting of children with a stop-watch?

I am one of those children!

Alfred Kinsey founded the Kinsey Institute on the campus of Indiana University when he wrote his first book, "Sexuality of the Human Male" that was pre-published in 1947. I still remember that forest green edition that was disguised to look like the Holy Bible. My father was proud to be one of the so-called researchers for this book while he was using me as his sex slave to do the so-called research.

I personally witnessed Alfred Kinsey hand my grand-father and my father a joint check for just \$6,000.00, for his several years of so-called research for the Kinsey books. Alfred Kinsey also wrote "The Sexuality of the Human Female" (1953). The first book was recently re-published for the Kinsey Institute to commemorate their 60 years in the illicit sexuality business. The profits still go to finance the Kinsey Institute today.

The childhood sexual incest committed against me, that Kinsey hired my father to do, severely affected me and later also my husband and has caused us both great pain during our marriage.

When I was older my father had a massive stroke that took away his speech and paralyzed the right side of his body. It was really hard for me to be my father's 24/7 caregiver for 2 years. Bathing the man that committed incest against me for money, paid by Alfred Kinsey himself, was really tough on me emotionally. By God's grace and with a loving husband, I have survived. It took a long time. I have found the way to forgive my father but I have not forgiven Alfred Kinsey.

In my ten minute personal interview with Alfred Kinsey himself when I was a child in about 1944, I was forced to shake hands with the devil himself. He is dead now and God has judged him already.

The Kinsey Institute still promotes the idea that "children are sexual from birth." That's a lie from the pit of hell. Children are too young to even give consent to irresponsible sex with anyone!

My uncle who was also one of Kinsey's incest so-called researchers, molested his stepdaughter when she was 5 years old and it caused her terrible trauma throughout her life. My uncle and his

wife were personal friends with Alfred Kinsey and his wife when they lived in Bloomington and called Kinsey a “Queer Duck.”

How can this organization be accredited to the United Nations, an organization that claims to protect children?

The Kinsey Institute testified that they promote “responsible sexual behavior.” Any organization that agrees with the promotion of sexual promiscuity to children is definitely NOT responsible!

All this sexual abuse, especially those children who were molested for hire by Kinsey has devastated their “sexual health.” Of course the Kinsey Institute “never did any research” on the consequences of what they promoted.

I know these are shocking words to comprehend. This is only my family story. What a terrible family scandal!

For all the hundreds of other children who were tortured as I was, we need to stop the Kinsey Institute from spreading this sex “research.” I’m sure some of them committed suicide years ago. Most of them are dead now, I am now in my 80s.

Please educate your UN Committee on what is really going on at the Kinsey Institute today. The acute unconcerned silence on the video clip as the Kinsey Institute gave their testimony was devastating to me. I guess you just didn’t have any information.

I pray that you will do the right thing and eventually come to your own conclusion that the Kinsey Institute should not be able to use the United Nations as a way to advance their radical sex research.

This has been very sincerely written, by a so-called “Kinsey Institute Research Survivor”.

Sincerely,



This is documented by Esther White, my assumed name to protect my identity.

United Nations Sexuality Education Guidelines and Standards Reflecting Kinsey Philosophies

Modern comprehensive sexuality education programs are mostly based on fraudulent Kinsey science purporting to show that children are sexual from birth and sexual behavior of all kinds are healthy and normal for children, adolescents, and adults. The sexuality education guidelines published by both UNESCO and the World Health Organization (WHO) have clearly been influenced by the Kinsey Institute's view of human sexuality. In fact, the UNESCO guidelines were coauthored by Nanette Ecker, a former director of SEICUS, an organization that was co-founded by a former Kinsey Institute director and that relies on Kinsey science to create and promote sexuality education programs worldwide.

Below are some of the controversial excerpts from the original UNESCO International Guidelines on Sexuality Education which reflect Kinsey "science" and philosophies. The full guidelines can be found at this link: <http://www.refworld.org/cgi-bin/txis/vtx/rwmain?docid=4a69b8902>

Learning Objectives for Level I (ages 5-8)

"Girls and boys have private body parts that can feel pleasurable when touched by oneself." (p. 43)

"It is natural to explore and touch parts of one's own body." (p. 48)

"Bodies can feel good when touched." (p. 48)

"Touching and rubbing one's genitals is called masturbation." (p. 48)

"Masturbation is not harmful, but should be done in private." (p. 48)

"People receive messages about sex, gender, and sexuality from their cultures and religions." (p. 39)

"All people regardless of their . . . sexual status can raise a child and give it the love it deserves." (p. 51)

Learning Objectives for Level II (ages 9-12)

"Both men and women can give and receive sexual pleasure." (p. 43)

"excitement and vaginal lubrication, penile erection and ejaculation." (p. 44)

"Many boys and girls begin to masturbate during puberty." (p. 44)

"Definition and function of orgasm." (p. 49)

"Legal abortion performed under sterile conditions by medically trained personnel is safe." (p. 51)

Learning Objectives for Level III (ages 12-15)

"Respect for the different sexual orientations and gender identity." (p. 48)

"Both men and women can give and receive sexual pleasure with a partner of the same or opposite sex." (p. 50)

"Everyone is responsible for their own and their partner's sexual pleasure" (p. 50)

"Access to safe abortion and post-abortion care." (p. 52)

Stages of "male and female human sexual response including orgasm." (p. 50)

Excerpts from the Recommendations from the Kinsey-influenced World Health Organization's European Standards for Sexuality Education

For Children Age 0-4 years

- "Give information about enjoyment and pleasure when touching one's body . . . masturbation"
- "Enable children to gain an awareness of gender identity"
- "Give the right to explore gender identities"

For Children Age 4-6 years

- "Give information about early childhood masturbation"
- "Give information about same-sex relationships"
- "Give information about . . . different concepts of a family"
- "Help children develop respect for different norms regarding sexuality"

For Children Age 6-9 years

- "Give information about . . . different methods of conception"
- "Give information about enjoyment and pleasure when touching one's own body, early childhood masturbation"
- "Give information about friendship and love towards people of the same sex"

For Children Age 9-12 years

- "Give information about different types of contraception . . . enable children to use condoms and contraceptives effectively in the future"
- "Gender orientation and differences between gender identity and biological sex"
- "Give information about pleasure, masturbation, orgasm"
- "Give information about sexual rights as defined by the International Planned Parenthood Federation and the World Association for Sexual Health"

For Children Age 12-15 years

- "Gender identity and sexual orientation, including coming-out/homosexuality"
- "Give information about pleasure, masturbation, orgasm"
- "Enable teenagers to obtain and use condoms and contraceptives effectively"
- "Give information about sexual rights as defined by the International Planned Parenthood Federation and the World Association for Sexual Health"

For Age 15 and up

Surrogacy, medically assisted reproduction and “designer” babies, genetics”

“Help teenagers to develop a critical view of different cultural/religious norms related to pregnancy, parenthood, etc.”

“Help teenagers to develop a change from possible negative feelings, disgust and hatred towards homosexuality to acceptance and celebration of sexual differences”

“Sexual rights: access, information, availability, violations of sexual rights, right to abortion”

The full WHO European standards can be found at this link:

http://www.oif.ac.at/fileadmin/OEIF/andere_Publikationen/WHO_BZgA_Standards.pdf